10297 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.026$

2310 independent reflections

2027 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2-Aminoanilinium 2-carboxyacetate

Li-ping Feng* and Liang Zhao

Department of Chemical & Environmental Engineering, Anyang Institute of Technology, Anyang 455000, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: ayitzhao@yahoo.com.cn

Received 22 June 2011: accepted 28 June 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.040; wR factor = 0.129; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.9.

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $C_6H_9N_2^+ \cdot C_3H_3O_4^-$, all the amino H atoms are involved in intermolecular N-H···O hydrogen bonds, which link the ions into double chains parallel to [101]. In the anion, an intramolecular $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bond is observed.

Related literature

For background to pharmaceutical applications and growth of co-crystals, see: Almarsson & Zaworotko (2004); Blagden et al. (2008); Vishweshwar et al. (2006); Kapildev et al. (2011); Schultheiss & Newman (2009).



Experimental

Crystal data $C_6H_9N_2^+ \cdot C_3H_3O_4^ M_r = 212.21$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 12.735 (3) Å b = 5.7448 (11) Åc = 14.429 (3) Å $\beta = 107.38 \ (3)^{\circ}$

V = 1007.4 (3) Å³ Z = 4Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 K $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.15 \ \text{mm}$

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2005) $T_{\min} = 0.910, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	137 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.129$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.14	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
2310 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1 - H1A \cdots O3^{i}$	0.89	1.90	2.7865 (17)	171
$N1 - H1B \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{ii}$	0.89	1.86	2.7420 (15)	170
$N2 - H2A \cdots O3^{iii}$	0.90	2.21	2.9693 (18)	142
$N2 - H2B \cdots O2^{iv}$	0.90	2.21	3.0988 (18)	169
$N1 - H1C \cdot \cdot \cdot O2$	0.89	2.25	2.9019 (15)	130
$O4-H4\cdots O2$	0.82	1.67	2.4616 (15)	161

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) x, y + 1, z; (iii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL .

This work was supported by the start-up fund of Anyang Institute of Technology, China.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: RZ2617).

References

Almarsson, O. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2004). Chem. Commun. 17, 1889-1896. Blagden, N., Berry, D. J., Parkin, A., Javed, H., Ibrahim, A., Gavan, P. T., De Matos, L. L. & Seaton, C. C. (2008). New J. Chem. 32, 1659-1672. Kapildev, K. A., Nitin, G. T. & Raj, S. (2011). Mol. Pharm. 8, 982-989. Rigaku (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan. Schultheiss, N. & Newman, A. (2009). Cryst. Growth Des. 9, 2950-2967. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122. Vishweshwar, P., McMahon, J. A., Bis, J. A. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2006). J. Pharm. Sci. 95, 499-516.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o1881 [doi:10.1107/S160053681102544X]

2-Aminoanilinium 2-carboxyacetate

L. Feng and L. Zhao

Comment

Molecular co-crystals are becoming increasingly important within the pharmaceutical industry as an alternative source of new solid crystalline materials with the potential to provide optimal physical properties whilst retaining the chemical properties of the cocrystal components (Almarsson & Zaworotko, 2004; Blagden *et al.*, 2008; Vishweshwar *et al.*, 2006). Physicochemical properties such as the melting point, stability and solubility of an active pharmaceutical ingredient can be tuned through co-crystal formulation (Kapildev *et al.*, 2011; Schultheiss & Newman, 2009). Co-crystal synthesis often relies on the acid-amide H-bonds interactions. Herein, we report the crystal structure of the title compound, 2-aminoanilinium 2-carboxyacetate.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound is composed of one 2-aminoanilinium cation and one 2-carboxyacetate anion (Fig. 1). The amine N1 atom is protonated and one of the carboxyl groups (C7/O1/O2) is deprotonated. The geometric parameters of the title compound are in the normal range. In the crystal structure, all the amino H atoms are involved in intermolecular N—H···O hydrogen bonding interactions with carboxylic O atoms, linking the ions into double chains parallel to the [101] direction (Table 1; Fig. 2). The conformation of the anion is stabilized by an intramolecular O—H···O hydrogen bond (Table 1).

Experimental

A mixture of benzene-1,2-diamine (2.0 mmol), malonic acid (2.0 mmol) in distilled water (20 ml) was added into a 50 ml flask and refluxed for 5 hours, then cooled and filtrated. The solution was evaporated slowly in the air. Colourless block crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained after one week.

Refinement

All H atoms attached to C atoms were fixed geometrically and treated as riding with C-H = 0.93–0.97 Å and with $U_{iso}(H)$ = 1.2 $U_{eq}(C)$. The amine and carboxylic H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined freely. In the last stage of the refinement, they were restrained with the H—N1 = 0.90 (2) Å, H—N2 = 0.89 (2) Å and H—O4 = 0.82 (2) Å, and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(N1, O4)$ or 1.2 $U_{eq}(N2)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.



Fig. 2. The crystal packing of the title compound viewed along the b axis, showing a double chain formed by intermolecular hydrogen bonds (dashed line). Hydrogen atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding are omitted for clarity.

2-Aminoanilinium 2-carboxyacetate

Crystal data

 $C_6H_9N_2^+ \cdot C_3H_3O_4^ M_r = 212.21$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ Hall symbol: -P 2yn *a* = 12.735 (3) Å *b* = 5.7448 (11) Å c = 14.429 (3) Å $\beta = 107.38 (3)^{\circ}$ V = 1007.4 (3) Å³ Z = 4

Da

Data collection	
Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer	2310 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2027 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.026$
Detector resolution: 13.6612 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 1.9^{\circ}$
CCD profile fitting scans	$h = -16 \rightarrow 16$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrystalClear</i> ; Rigaku, 2005)	$k = -7 \rightarrow 7$
$T_{\min} = 0.910, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$	$l = -18 \rightarrow 18$
10297 measured reflections	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.129$	H-atom parameters constrained
<i>S</i> = 1.14	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0733P)^2 + 0.1065P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2310 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
137 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.29 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

F(000) = 448 $D_{\rm x} = 1.399 {\rm Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 2310 reflections $\theta = 1.9 - 27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 KBlock, colourless $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

0 restraints

 $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2 \text{sigma}(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
O2	0.61965 (7)	0.52957 (17)	0.59590 (7)	0.0380 (3)
N1	0.58132 (8)	0.80154 (19)	0.41942 (8)	0.0318 (3)
H1A	0.5336	0.8376	0.3623	0.048*
H1B	0.5997	0.9297	0.4552	0.048*
H1C	0.5506	0.6998	0.4500	0.048*
O4	0.78587 (8)	0.72834 (17)	0.69666 (7)	0.0407 (3)
H4	0.7231	0.6862	0.6670	0.061*
O3	0.94464 (8)	0.5435 (2)	0.74114 (7)	0.0458 (3)
01	0.62897 (9)	0.1692 (2)	0.54632 (9)	0.0538 (3)
C1	0.67983 (9)	0.6987 (2)	0.40414 (8)	0.0286 (3)
C9	0.84796 (9)	0.5516 (2)	0.69323 (8)	0.0303 (3)
C7	0.67270 (10)	0.3478 (2)	0.58610 (8)	0.0320 (3)
C8	0.79755 (9)	0.3559 (2)	0.62487 (9)	0.0307 (3)
H8A	0.8226	0.2101	0.6580	0.037*
H8B	0.8263	0.3641	0.5698	0.037*
C6	0.77981 (11)	0.8061 (3)	0.44260 (10)	0.0390 (3)
H6A	0.7845	0.9435	0.4777	0.047*
N2	0.56773 (12)	0.3929 (3)	0.31118 (11)	0.0569 (4)
H2A	0.5601	0.2518	0.2828	0.068*
H2B	0.5078	0.4222	0.3303	0.068*
C2	0.66864 (11)	0.4931 (2)	0.35095 (9)	0.0342 (3)
C3	0.76464 (13)	0.3975 (3)	0.33892 (10)	0.0436 (4)
H3A	0.7607	0.2596	0.3043	0.052*
C4	0.86492 (13)	0.5041 (3)	0.37757 (12)	0.0515 (4)
H4A	0.9277	0.4369	0.3688	0.062*
C5	0.87378 (12)	0.7085 (3)	0.42893 (12)	0.0513 (4)
H5A	0.9418	0.7804	0.4542	0.062*
/ . I. I	· »7			

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
U	0	U	0	U	0

supplementary materials

O2	0.0268 (4)	0.0449 (5)	0.0408 (5)	0.0064 (4)	0.0079 (4)	0.0038 (4)
N1	0.0269 (5)	0.0348 (6)	0.0329 (5)	0.0024 (4)	0.0074 (4)	-0.0021 (4)
O4	0.0355 (5)	0.0373 (5)	0.0450 (5)	0.0022 (4)	0.0055 (4)	-0.0112 (4)
03	0.0282 (5)	0.0568 (6)	0.0444 (6)	-0.0005 (4)	-0.0012 (4)	-0.0073 (5)
01	0.0412 (6)	0.0616 (7)	0.0592 (7)	-0.0177 (5)	0.0160 (5)	-0.0293 (6)
C1	0.0259 (6)	0.0320 (6)	0.0282 (6)	0.0026 (4)	0.0085 (4)	0.0031 (4)
C9	0.0265 (6)	0.0365 (6)	0.0275 (6)	-0.0006 (5)	0.0075 (4)	0.0004 (5)
C7	0.0277 (6)	0.0421 (7)	0.0262 (5)	-0.0027 (5)	0.0082 (4)	-0.0023 (5)
C8	0.0274 (6)	0.0325 (6)	0.0324 (6)	0.0012 (5)	0.0092 (5)	-0.0031 (5)
C6	0.0319 (6)	0.0423 (7)	0.0414 (7)	-0.0037 (5)	0.0088 (5)	-0.0007 (6)
N2	0.0508 (8)	0.0546 (8)	0.0686 (9)	-0.0159 (6)	0.0229 (7)	-0.0303 (7)
C2	0.0384 (7)	0.0349 (7)	0.0314 (6)	0.0004 (5)	0.0134 (5)	0.0004 (5)
C3	0.0542 (9)	0.0426 (8)	0.0409 (7)	0.0124 (7)	0.0246 (6)	0.0031 (6)
C4	0.0425 (8)	0.0685 (11)	0.0513 (9)	0.0203 (7)	0.0259 (7)	0.0154 (8)
C5	0.0279 (7)	0.0690 (11)	0.0571 (9)	-0.0014 (7)	0.0128 (6)	0.0069 (8)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O2—C7	1.2742 (16)	C8—H8A	0.9700
N1—C1	1.4618 (15)	C8—H8B	0.9700
N1—H1A	0.8900	C6—C5	1.388 (2)
N1—H1B	0.8900	C6—H6A	0.9300
N1—H1C	0.8900	N2—C2	1.3679 (19)
O4—C9	1.2969 (16)	N2—H2A	0.9003
O4—H4	0.8221	N2—H2B	0.9008
О3—С9	1.2195 (15)	C2—C3	1.3978 (19)
O1—C7	1.2253 (17)	C3—C4	1.375 (2)
C1—C6	1.3737 (18)	С3—НЗА	0.9300
C1—C2	1.3924 (18)	C4—C5	1.375 (3)
С9—С8	1.5069 (17)	C4—H4A	0.9300
С7—С8	1.5205 (17)	С5—Н5А	0.9300
C1—N1—H1A	109.5	C7—C8—H8B	108.0
C1—N1—H1B	109.5	H8A—C8—H8B	107.2
H1A—N1—H1B	109.5	C1—C6—C5	119.72 (14)
C1—N1—H1C	109.5	C1—C6—H6A	120.1
H1A—N1—H1C	109.5	C5—C6—H6A	120.1
H1B—N1—H1C	109.5	C2—N2—H2A	122.0
С9—О4—Н4	105.0	C2—N2—H2B	124.6
C6—C1—C2	122.23 (12)	H2A—N2—H2B	108.7
C6-C1-N1	119.42 (12)	N2-C2-C1	121.12 (12)
C2-C1-N1	118.34 (11)	N2—C2—C3	121.96 (13)
03—C9—O4	122.15 (12)	C1—C2—C3	116.91 (13)
O3—C9—C8	120.19 (12)	C4—C3—C2	121.01 (14)
O4—C9—C8	117.62 (10)	C4—C3—H3A	119.5
O1—C7—O2	123.81 (12)	С2—С3—Н3А	119.5
O1—C7—C8	118.48 (12)	C5—C4—C3	121.04 (13)
O2—C7—C8	117.71 (11)	C5—C4—H4A	119.5
С9—С8—С7	117.25 (10)	C3—C4—H4A	119.5
С9—С8—Н8А	108.0	C4—C5—C6	119.08 (14)

supplementary materials

C7—C8—H8A	108.0	C4—C5—H5A	120.5
C9—C8—H8B	108.0	C6—C5—H5A	120.5
O3—C9—C8—C7	165.75 (12)	C6—C1—C2—C3	0.67 (19)
O4—C9—C8—C7	-16.10 (16)	N1—C1—C2—C3	-179.06 (11)
O1—C7—C8—C9	-165 98 (12)	N2—C2—C3—C4	178 41 (14)
02-C7-C8-C9 C2-C1-C6-C5	103.08 (12) 14.93 (16) -0.2 (2)	C1-C2-C3-C4 C2-C3-C4-C5	-0.5(2) -0.2(2)
N1—C1—C6—C5 C6—C1—C2—N2 N1—C1—C2—N2	179.56 (12) -178.23 (14) 2.05 (19)	C3—C4—C5—C6 C1—C6—C5—C4	0.8 (2) -0.6 (2)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
N1—H1A···O3 ⁱ	0.89	1.90	2.7865 (17)	171
N1—H1B…O1 ⁱⁱ	0.89	1.86	2.7420 (15)	170
N2—H2A···O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.90	2.21	2.9693 (18)	142
N2—H2B····O2 ^{iv}	0.90	2.21	3.0988 (18)	169
N1—H1C…O2	0.89	2.25	2.9019 (15)	130
O4—H4…O2	0.82	1.67	2.4616 (15)	161
Symmetry codes: (i) <i>x</i> -1/2, - <i>y</i> +3/2, <i>z</i> -1/2;	(ii) <i>x</i> , <i>y</i> +1, <i>z</i> ; (iii) <i>x</i> -1/2,	-y+1/2, z-1/2; (iv)	-x+1, -y+1, -z+1.	

sup-5





Fig. 2